Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested by the Panel (if appropriate)	Comments/Recommendation	Cabinet Response Req'd (Yes/No)	Cabinet to agree not agree/partially agree recommendation
General Issues					
Recommendation 1 Culture, Strategy & Engagement	2023/24 Outturn Position & 2024/25 Budget Position	None	Noting the particular budget pressures relating to Digital and IT services and that this was exacerbated by the higher levels of insourced services in recent years, the Panel recommended that all knock-on costs associated with insourcing should be budgeted for over the longer-term at the time when that decision is made.	Yes response to be added below	Agree
Cabinet Response to Recommendation 1		es and it should be noted that the urcing, for example.	e MTFS makes provision for such costs in pre	eparation for t	the Leisure
Recommendation 2 Culture, Strategy & Engagement	2023/24 Outturn Position & 2024/25 Budget Position	Noting that the budget pressures relating to Digital and IT services also included factors such as the exchange rate, general inflation, licences/contracts and hardware (in addition to insourcing costs as above),	The Committee noted the response to this but considered that this was a high spend area of the council and continued to request that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee receive a report on the causes of increasing contract costs in a consolidated report.	Yes response to be added below	Agreed

the Pa	el requested a	
	wn of these costs.	
J. Sance	Wit of those code.	
Respo	se: The £0.23m	
project	d budget pressure in	
Digital	ervices reported at	
Q2 coi	orised contract	
pressu	es of £0.33m offset by	
an und	rspend on staffing of	
£0.10r	The projected	
	pressure is based	
primar	on additional costs	
being i	curred on in-year	
contra	renewals with	
supplie	s raising prices to	
accoul	for inflation and	
exchai	e rate movements.	
Moven	ent of Digital contract	
inflatio	is tracked within the	
Servic	with pressures	
reporte	as part of the budget	
monito	ng process and	
foreca	ed into future	
financi	years to aid budget	
monito	ng and financial	
	. The process used	
	and report inflation	
	complex formulas	
and ca	ulations which track	
	s over multiple years	
of inde	ation linked to	

contract regulations and	
fiscal movement. This makes	
it difficult to break the causes	
of increasing contract costs	
down into a consolidated	
report in the way requested	
by the Committee.	
, and the second	

Cabinet Response to Recommendation 2

The original period 6 position reported by Digital services has subsequently changed. The original £0.5m pressure was based on a projection for inflation on contracts and actuals incurred up to period 6 and has been reprofiled to project a year end position and pressure of £0.2m taking account of council-wide provision for contract inflation and costs. The service is managing staffing vacancies to offset this pressure and will seek to conclude the year with a balanced budget.

Further to the previous response, of the £0.5m reported at period 6, £300k of it was increased contract costs. The projected contract pressure is a combination of actual increased costs where contracts have been renewed and estimates based on predictions of those to be renewed later in the year. We cannot easily differentiate in this between inflation and exchange rates.

E.g. an overseas supplier prices in pounds and may include a combination of exchange costs and inflation pricing to determine their final price. Examples of this are AWS – Amazon Web services and SAP (our financial and HR management system). Or a UK reseller pricing in £'s against the \$ such as our Core Enterprise agreement and Security (the Council's Firewall).

A core enterprise agreement is a commercial business agreement usually covering licenses and support for the core operating systems such as Microsoft used by the Council. A single vendor may have multiple types of licences to permit access to different resources, functionality, and services.

We can however break down the £300k increased contract cost into the following categories and applications:

The major contributing factors were increased costs of the Core Enterprise agreement - Circa £129k, related to Microsoft licence, costs and use. In addition:

Firewall Costs * Council Security £28k
Sonus Voice appliance support £4k
Off Site Backup and storage £4k

IT Managed service Contracts for Maintenance (Managed on behalf of Services) - £50k increased contract costs:

AutoCAD £10k
Carevision £1k
Adobe £1k
Cipfa (FinanceMgt) £0.6k
Express (Elections) £3.6k
Home Finder £2.4k
Modern .gov £1.2k

MitreFinch (access mgt) £1.4k

Redbox (Recording) £2k
Wax (e-procurement) £5.6k
Visual Files (Legal) £1.2k
View City £3k
Misc Small Contracts £16k

IT Managed Services - £86k increased contract costs:

Rev and Ben (Iworld) – £20k
Parking £5k
Web Hosting £14k
SAP £47k

Officers from Strategic Procurement, Finance and Digital services could meet with members to provide a more informed briefing as to how contracts are managed across the Council; of which digital services have approx. 300 contracts.

MTFS Savings Tracker

Recommendation	Full cost	None	The Committee considered the use of	Yes –	Agreed
3	recovery of		Council taxpayers funds to meet the costs	response	
DI 00/0	matchday		of matchday cleansing services to be	to be	
PL20/9 cleansing			unacceptable and recommended that the	added	
	service		Council continues to urgent pursue	below	
			negotiations with Tottenham Hotspur		
			Football Club to secure full cost recovery		
			of all matchday cleansing service,		

Cabinet Response to Recommendation 3	surrounding areas	s. The level of resources over and above cle	including recovery of funds retrospectively for costs incurred in previous years since the opening of the new stadium. Events, THFC undertakes an element of its own cleansing ce provided by THFC is currently under discussion as the eansing carried out by THFC to ensure the area around thing and post matches.	Council is s	till providing
Recommendation 4 N/A	Digital Together	None.	The Committee noted that this proposal involved a substantive sum of money but that over 90% of the savings in 2023/24 had not yet been achieved. The Committee further noted that the savings needed to be achieved on a cross-cutting basis with all service departments adopting more efficient systems and processes. The Committee recommended that the Cabinet explain how each service department will be engaging with this proposal in order to achieve the savings over the MTFS period.	Yes Response to be added below	Agreed
Cabinet Response to Recommendation 4	projects, a lack of departments to of Fortnight. An initia sufficient capacity	the requisite skills in- fer up processes to ca al team has been crea for the longer-term.	s saving historically for a number of reasons – a lack of a house to develop new systems and processes, and a lack reate the pipeline in the first place. The first has begun to ated to address the second and the restructure underway A proposal will be brought forward for how the savings cand to play their part, following engagement with services and	ck of an incer be created a in Digital Se an be achieve	ntive for service as a result of Budget ervices will build and over the period

Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested by the Panel (if appropriate)	Comments/Recommendation	Cabinet Response Req'd (Yes/No)	Agreed/ Not Agreed/ Partially agreed
Recommendation 5		None	The Panel was concerned about the budget gap of £16.4M reported in the draft MTFS and the fact that no further information is available at this stage on where further savings will be coming from. The Panel seeks assurances from Cabinet that it will seek to protect key non statutory services within Children's Services from any further cuts.	Yes – response to be added below	Agreed
Cabinet Response to Recommendation 5		•	thin the council to ensure that we continue providing the mbers will know that the proposed 2024/25 Budget	•	•

are still significant financial challenges ahead therefore no part of the council can be protected through our continued
drive to reduce down costs.

Adults & Health Scrutiny F	Panel				
Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested by the Panel (if appropriate)	Comments/Recommendation	Cabinet Response Req'd (Yes/No)	Agreed/ Not Agreed/Partially agreed
General Issues					
Recommendation 6		None	The Panel seeks assurances from Cabinet that the pressures on the Adult Social Care budget would not impact negatively on the quality of care as new contracts were negotiated.	Yes response to be added below	Agreed
N/A					

	Haringey is committed to paying sustainable fee rates and fee rates are negotiated on this basis. Haringey's recently
	launched adult social care Quality Assurance and Contract Monitoring Framework will ensure regular contract
Cabinet Response to	monitoring and quality assurance and on-site provider visits. This will enable a more proactive approach to
Recommendation 6	addressing and resolving contractual performance and quality assurance issues.

Housing, Planning and Deve Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested if	Comments/Recommendation	Cabinet	Agreed /
NGI	WITT 3 FTOPOSAI	appropriate)	Comments/Necommentation	Response Req'd (Yes/No)	Not Agreed/ Partially Agreed
Request for Additional Inves	<u>stment</u>				
Recommendation 7	Additional investment in landscaping and green space maintenance on new build developments	The Panel request clarification about whether additional funding for maintaining communal green spaces in our new housing developments could come out of the HRA, rather than the revenue budget, which is facing significant pressures. Response: The HRA is the revenue budget for Housing. Additional landscaping works would come out of the HRA budget, rather than the GF revenue budget.	The Panel welcomes the standard of landscaping and green space provision that has been put in place for our new build housing developments. The Panel would like to see additional investment put into maintaining the high standard of landscaping, so that it does not fall into disrepair or become overgrown. Given the amount of investment the Council has put into its housebuilding programme, maintaining the surrounding green spaces is an important part of their upkeep and ensuring those sites are attractive. Consideration should be given to securing additional resources to undertake additional maintenance of communal green spaces on new developments, including cutting back overgrown foliage, weeding and maintaining flower beds.	Yes – cabinet Response to be added below	Partially Agreed

Cabinet Response to	The Council is currently reviewing its arrangements for the management of new build housing. Maintaining the high
Recommendation 7	quality green spaces that have been developed will be an important consideration in new arrangements moving forwards. However, given the incredibly constrained environment the HRA is operating in, there is unlikely to be additional funding committed in this area.

Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested if appropriate	Comments/Recommendation	Cabinet Response Req'd (Yes/No)	Agreed Not Agreed Partially Agreed
New Savings Proposals					
Recommendation 8	The proposal is to stop	None	Given the impact the proposed savings	Yes –	Partially
CSE24_SAV_003	providing hard copy newspapers and magazines in libraries. Newspapers and magazines are now available on Pressreader which provides thousands of newspapers and		would have on elderly citizens and citizens accessing papers in community languages together with the social benefits that this provision of hard copy newspapers provided, the Panel recommended that this saving not be taken forward.	Cabinet Response to be added below	Agreed

	1		T		
	magazines from around				
	the globe. Many library				
	services that stopped				
	newspapers and				
	magazines during				
	COVID lockdown have				
	not reintroduced them.				
Cabinet Response to	We will seek to identify a li	mited number of har	d copy titles that can be retained within exi	sting resource	es, based on
Recommendation 8	usage.				
	It is worth noting that Press	s Reader enables re	sidents to read many more titles, including	those from ar	ound the world,
	than we would ever be able	e to stock in hard co	py, and this is particularly valuable for residual	dents for whor	n English is not
	their first language.				
Recommendation 9	The use of our libraries	None.	The Panel would like Cabinet to	Yes	Not agreed
	varies from one branch		reconsider this saving. The Panel would		
CSE24_SAV_001	to another at different		not like to see any reduction in Library		
	time of the day. Based		opening hours and the net saving found		
	on footfall analysis we		from elsewhere.		
	know that library use is				
	typically lowest in				
	mornings. Young people				
	in particular have a need				
	for study space in the				
	evenings and libraries				
	are ideal as a free and				
	safe community space.				
	We want to look at				
	varying the opening				
	hours of our libraries to				
	times when they are				

	t beautily used which		
	t heavily used, which		
	d include later in the		
	nings, allowing us to		
	ate resources in a		
	e targeted way.		
	ary buildings and		
	ties could be made		
	able to other		
servi	ices even when the		
librar	ry service itself is not		
oper	ating e.g.,		
Com	munity Hub teams		
and '	VCS organisations.		
The	proposed saving is		
base	ed on reviewing		
hour	s at the six branch		
librar	ries with a mixture of		
morr	nings and afternoons		
oper	ning times based on		
	and and		
demo	ographics, to ensure		
	ries remain		
acce	essible to all. The		
servi	ice is currently		
	/ing some vacancies		
	agency cover which		
	reduce the need for		
	proposed		
	ndancies. No library		
	ling would be closed.		
build	mig would be olosed.		

Response to Recommendation 9	face reduces our options. we can meet our statutor	Significant savings a y obligations, includir	ve for our communities, however the scale are already being found in other services as any those to the most vulnerable, as well as	s well, and we set a balance	have to ensured budget.
	-		to opening hours for each library with them	•	one and will be
Recommendation 10 CSE24_SAV_001	As above		If library opening hours were reduced, the Cabinet should give assurance that it intended to engage robustly with schools, early years users, and local groups to explore options on how to keep Library buildings open at the appropriate times for these users. Also, to provide more information on 'wrap around' services that could be provided in Library buildings from other council services outside of the Library opening times.	Yes, to be set out below	Agreed
			The Cabinet response should also indicate if the service had considered other ways to generate income into libraries by potentially looking at hiring out spaces before putting this saving forward.		

	We have already started o	ngaging with Eriond	 s of Libraries groups on behalf of local use	c on the entire	ne and will be
I		-	to opening hours for each library with them	-	nis and will be
Cabinet Response to	discussing detailed propos	als on the changes	to opening nours for each library with them	•	
Recommendation 10	The proposal to develop C	ommunity Hubs else	ewhere in the final MTFS report presents o	oportunities fo	or other services
	to be delivered from library	buildings, including	advice services, preventative outreach se	vices and ear	ly years.
	-		get of more than £400k a year, which it has	•	
ı		•	re closer to achieving it than in any previou	•	
	significant efforts by staff a	and the capital invest	tment into branches to improve accessibility	y and lettings.	
	We will continue to work or	n income generation	as a priority and as part of the proposed n	ew libraries s	trategy.
Recommendation 11			The Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Yes to be	Agreed
CSE24_SAV_001			recognise the need to make savings to	set out	
00221_0/(_001			balance the budget and that there are	below	
			not easy savings to make and not		
			making this associated saving will have		
			an impact on other areas of the budget.		
			Assurance is sought from Cabinet on		
			measures to mitigate impact of reduced		
			library hours on service users including		
			that, individually, the libraries remain open at times of the highest usage and		
			each library is assessed, on a case by		
			case basis, to understand what this		
			peak user time is. Also ensuring that the		
			library opening times are compiled in a		
			way that allows a user to use a library		
			way that allows a door to doc a library		

Cabinet Response to Recommendation 11	discussing detailed propos We will be looking at usag We are exploring a neighb	sals on the changes se and footfall data to sourhood cluster app	that is in reasonable close reach, if one local library is not open when they need to use it. Is of Libraries groups on behalf of local use to opening hours for each library with the proach that will maximise the availability of is not open when a resident wants to use	ers on the option. es of highest unit flibrary opening	sage.
Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested if appropriate	Comments/Recommendation	Cabinet Response Req'd (Yes/No)	Agreed/ Not Agreed Partially Agreed
Format of budget scrutiny	<u>papers</u>				
Recommendation 12	N/A	None	The Committee welcomed the updated format of the budget scrutiny papers and requested the following updates for future years: a) A short piece of introductory text for each table (in the main report) to explain how they related to one another. b) Additional explanatory text on the capital budget appendix, including the	Yes to be set out below	Agreed

	impact on the revenue budget in terms of interest incurred. c) Additional discussions between the Assistant Director for Finance and the Chair of the Housing, Planning & Development Scrutiny Panel on the format of appendices and reports for this Panel. d) Concerning the agreed capital expenditure programme, where there are mixed sources of funding supporting a scheme, this should be
In the budget compilation exercise, there had been an assessment of capital schemes that: had a risk of not being self-financing and	set out more fully and in more detail. e) Reductions in the Capital Programme should be set out separately in a tabular format, rather than being embedded in the MTFS report. The table should include brief information on the individual scheme and the impact it has on the Council's aims and ambitions. The table should further indicate

	those which were not deemed essential, and projects that could be reprofiled, resulting in £400m reduction in borrowing for capital that could in future years impact on the General Fund and have revenue implications. Information on this was embedded in the marks of the following in the capital that could in the capital that could in the capital that could in future years implications. Information on this was embedded in the MTFS report
Cabinet Response to	It is recognised that the MTFS is by its nature a complex paper describing a huge range of financial planning changes
Recommendation 12	made necessary by national and local developments. Officers continually strive to make the report as understandable and comprehensive as they can supplemented by appropriate briefings. Officers have sort to address useful feedback from Overview and Scrutiny in this and previous cycles and will do so again in the production of next years report.